	<b>ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)</b> <b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	Page 1
		[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1 15 May 2024


# LOng-Lived greenhouse gas PrOducts Performances (LOLIPOP)

## Product Validation Plan (PVP)

Document Reference	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
Document Authors	B. Dils (BIRA-IASB) K. Walker (UoT)
Document Approvers	S. Pinnock (ESA, Technical Officer)


### Change log:

Version Nr.	Date	Status	Reason for change
Version 1	15-03-2024	Submitted	-
Version 1.1	15-05-2024	Submitted	ESA RID

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 2
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

## Table of Contents

1	Introduction .....	3
1.1	Scope of the document .....	3
1.2	Reference Document .....	3
1.3	Acronyms and Abbreviations .....	3
2	General Nadir product validation strategy.....	4
2.1	Reference data.....	4
2.1.1	NDACC .....	4
2.1.2	TCCON .....	6
2.1.3	In Situ .....	7
2.2	Strategy .....	8
2.3	Quality Parameters .....	10
3	General Limb product validation strategy .....	10
3.1	Reference data.....	10
3.1.1	Balloon-borne Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) Spectrometers.....	11
3.1.2	In situ aircraft measurements .....	12
3.2	Strategy .....	13
3.3	Quality Parameters .....	13
	References.....	14

	<b>ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)</b>  <b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	Page 3
		[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Scope of the document

This document is the Product Validation Plan (PVP) version 1.1 (v1.1), which is deliverable 2.1 of the ESA CCI LOLIPOP project, which outlines the general validation strategy used within this project. Note that the definitive validation strategy will be outlined in the Product Validation and Intercomparison Report (PVIR D2.3).

The LOLIPOP (Long-lived greenhouse gas products performances) project is looking into, and assessing the quality of, currently available long-lived greenhouse gas satellite measurements excluding carbon dioxide and methane (which fall under ESA’s GHG CCI project). In essence, this concerns measurements (limb and nadir) of N<sub>2</sub>O and chlorine- and fluorine-containing species. Information gathered in this project will further the R&D needed to generate new Other Long-Lived GreenHouse Gas (OLLGHG) Essential Climate Variable (ECV) satellite-derived data products.

The products to be validated were determined within WP1300, for nadir and limb configured satellite instruments.


Note that ideally, ECV products shall meet GCOS (Global Climate Observing System) data product requirements, which are typically denoted into 3 tiers (Threshold, Breakthrough and Goal). However up until its most recent installment (*GCOS-245/* in 2022), N<sub>2</sub>O and Halogenated species were not featured in the requirements. With this latest update, only N<sub>2</sub>O was added. These requirements are geared towards profile measurements alone (threshold vertical resolution at 3 km, threshold horizontal resolution of 1000 km) and were written in light of establishing future missions. Therefore, these may be inadequate to assess N<sub>2</sub>O products from current or legacy missions. Other listed threshold quality parameters for atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mole fractions are a temporal resolution of 168 hours, a 2-sigma measurement uncertainty of 0.3 ppb, and a stability of 0.2 ppb per decade. Instead, we will use the quality indicators as brought forward by LOLIPOP’s own data user survey [RD-1].

## 1.2 Reference Document

[RD-1] D1.1\_LOLIPOP\_URD version 1.1

## 1.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning
<b>ACE-FTS</b>	Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment - Fourier Transform Spectrometer
<b>AGAGE</b>	Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment
<b>CCI</b>	Climate Change Initiative

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 4
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

<b>CFC</b>	ChloroFluoroCarbon
<b>ECV</b>	Essential Climate Variable
<b>ESA</b>	European Space Agency
<b>FoM</b>	Figure of Merit
<b>FTIR</b>	Fourier Transform InfraRed
<b>GCOS</b>	Global Climate Observing System
<b>GLORIA-B</b>	Gimballed Limb Observer for Radiance Imaging of the Atmosphere – Balloon
<b>HCFC</b>	HydroChloroFluoroCarbon
<b>HIPPO</b>	HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations
<b>LOLIPOP</b>	Long Lived greenhouse gas PrOducts Performances
<b>MIPAS-B</b>	Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding - Balloon
<b>NDACC</b>	Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change
<b>PVIR</b>	Product Validation and Intercomparison Report
<b>PVP</b>	Product Validation Plan
<b>TCCON</b>	Total Carbon Column Observing Network

## 2 General Nadir product validation strategy

For the nadir data products, two types of comparisons will be undertaken.

- Intercomparisons will be made between nadir satellite measurements when at least two different satellite systems provide retrievals for a given gas. These measurements should overlap sufficiently in time and space to ensure that a meaningful comparison can be made.
- Validation comparisons will be made between nadir satellite measurements and difference reference data sources as outlined below.

No comparisons between nadir and limb satellite measurements are currently foreseen. If new nadir data products for CFCs, HCFCs, or HFCs are retrieved in this project, this may be added as appropriate.

### 2.1 Reference data

#### 2.1.1 NDACC

The Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC) is an international network of atmospheric composition sounding instruments (<https://ndacc.larc.nasa.gov/>). For this work package, we are particularly interested in the

ground-based solar-absorption remote sensing FTIR measurements as they sample virtually the same atmospheric column as the nadir satellites (although potentially with differing vertical sensitivities). For its retrievals, NDACC used an optimal estimation approach **/Rodgers 2000/** using either the SFIT **/Pougatchev 1995/** or PROFITT **/Hase 2006/** retrieval algorithms. Standard target species are HCHO, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, HCN, CO, HCl, HF, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>. For these species a harmonized retrieval approach has been agreed upon by all partners. However, partners are free to retrieve (and do so) other species such as CFC-11, CFC-12 and HCFC-22 (i.e. **/Zeng 2020/**, **/Pardo Cantos 2022/**, **/Zhou 2016, 2024/**), but it should be noted that harmonization between different station might be less strict, nor are all stations within the network involved (see Table 1 for a list of NDACC stations and the species of interest they retrieve). More detailed information on NDACC can be found in **/De Mazière et al. 2018/**.



**Figure 1:** Map of all FTIR NDACC sites.

Station	Lat	Lon	Alt (m)	CFC-11	CFC-12	SF6	HCFC-22
Eureka	80.06	-86.42	610				X
Ny Ålesund	78.92	11.92	24				X
Thule	76.52	-68.77	225				X
Harestua	60.22	10.75	59				X
St. Petersburg	59.88	29.83	20	X	X		X
Bremen	53.10	8.85	3				X

Jungfrauoch	46.55	7.98	3580	X	X	X	X
Toronto	43.60	79.36	17				X
Rikubetsu	43.46	143.77	380				X
Boulder	40.04	-105.24	1612				X
Tsukuba	36.05	140.12	3				X
Hefei	31.91	117.19	29	X	X		
Izaña	28.3	-16.48	2370				X
Réunion St.Denis	-20.90	55.49	85	X	X	X	X
Réunion Maido	-21.08	55.38	2155	X	X	X	X
Wollongong	-34.41	150.88	31				X
Lauder	-45.04	169.68	370	X		X	X
Arrival Heights	-77.83	166.66	200				X


**Table 1:** NDACC data availability for the validation of priority halogenated LOLIPOP species (CFC-11, CFC-12, and SF<sub>6</sub>, with an option for HCFC-22).

### 2.1.2 TCCON

The Total Carbon Column Observing Network (**/Wunch et al. 2011/**) is a network of ground-based FTIR instruments that provide highly accurate and precise column-averaged dry-air mole fractions of atmospheric components, including CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, HF, CO, H<sub>2</sub>O and HDO.

A key difference with respect to NDACC is that TCCON uses a profile scaling retrieval approach instead of optimal estimation. It also uses the simultaneously retrieved O<sub>2</sub> column, to derive the dry-air mole fractions. The network as a whole has undergone extensive validation using aircraft in situ overpass measurements and, as a result, a small correction is applied to the data to align with international measurement standards. For N<sub>2</sub>O an air mass independent correction factor of  $0.9821 \pm 0.0098$  is applied, next to small air mass dependent correction factors applied on a per retrieval window basis. This implies a total network uncertainty of ~1%. More information can be found on the TCCON wiki (<https://tcon-wiki.caltech.edu/Main/DataDescriptionGGG2020>).

In a study by **/Zhou et al. 2019/** comparing XN<sub>2</sub>O measurements at combined TCCON/NDACC sites, it was found that the mean differences (NDACC–TCCON) at these sites ranged between -3.32 and 1.37 ppb (-1.1 %–0.5 %) with standard deviations between 1.69 and 5.01 ppb (0.5 %–1.6 %), which are within the uncertainties of the two datasets. The NDACC N<sub>2</sub>O retrieval has good sensitivity throughout the troposphere and stratosphere, while

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 7
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

the TCCON retrieval underestimates a deviation from the a priori in the troposphere and overestimates it in the stratosphere.

We also need to take into account that both NDACC and TCCON rely on direct sun measurements. Thus clear-sky conditions are a must and for high latitude sites no data is available during local winter.



**Figure 2:** Map of all FTIR TCCON sites.

### 2.1.3 In Situ

While ground-based remote sensing data are ideal counterparts to nadir sounding satellite products, for CFCs particularly, the obtained reference dataset may be very limited. Therefore, ground-based or mobile platform (balloon, aircraft, etc.) born instruments could be of great use, particularly when assessing long term trends. Here, we'll look specifically at the ALE/GAGE/AGAGE missions started in 1978, which are based on gas chromatography and mass spectrometry, and which determined the atmospheric concentrations of halocarbons at various locations */Prinn et al. 2000/*. The 3 phases (The Atmospheric Lifetime Experiment ALE 1978-1986, The Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment GAGE 1981-1996 and The Advanced Global Gases Experiment AGAGE 1993-present) are primarily driven by changes in the instrumentation, which allowed the monitoring of additional gases at ever-greater frequency. Currently, the network measures CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ,  $\text{CCl}_4$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CCl}_3$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) at all stations (see Figure 3 for the geographical distribution) and many more at selected sites (see <https://agage.mit.edu/instruments> for more information).




**Figure 3:** Map of all AGAGE stations.

## 2.2 Strategy

The general principles of each validation revolve around finding adequate collocation criteria between satellite and reference data that strike a balance between obtaining a statistically significant dataset and limiting the risk of introducing additional biases due to spatial and temporal differences between the reference and satellite measurement. We will strive to make these collocation parameters as uniform as possible for the different algorithms involved, but in reality, this may not be practically possible when comparing a high and low density dataset. As a starting point, we will test 2 collocation criteria: pairing satellite and remote sensing data that are taken within 2 hours of one another and are located no further than either 500 km or 100km apart. Typically, satellite products contain information regarding their vertical sensitivity, either in the form of a vertical sensitivity profile or a (column) averaging kernel combined with an a priori profile. If no such information is available, valuable information can still be obtained in comparisons with reference data, albeit more restricted. The exact formulation on how to deal with these inherent differences depends in part on how the sensitivity information is presented to the users. In all cases, we will refer to the respective user guides, and we will implement them accordingly. However, we can formulate validation principles.

One principle is that when the retrieved satellite data contains a priori information, we will take this into account in our comparisons. This we do by changing the a priori in one of the datasets by its counterpart. Since we want to look at the performance of various competing algorithm products, we will use the reference data a priori profiles as the common a priori.



	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 9
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

As an example (the exact formulation may depend on how the a priori information is provided), to limit the impact of the a priori, we adjust the satellite dry air mole fraction using the FTS a priori as in

$$\hat{c}_{s,adj} = \hat{c}_s + \sum_l pw_l (1 - A_l)(x_{F,a}^l - x_{S,a}^l)$$

where,  $\hat{c}_s$  represents the originally retrieved satellite column-averaged dry air mole fraction,  $l$  is the index of the vertical layer,  $A_l$  the corresponding column averaging kernel of the satellite algorithm,  $x_{S,a}$  and  $x_{F,a}$  are the satellite and FTS a priori dry air mole fraction profiles, respectively.  $pw_l$  is the pressure weight associated with level or layer  $l$ .

Likewise, to address the differences in vertical sensitivity we apply either the satellite averaging kernel or vertical sensitivity onto the FTS data to obtain a hypothetical satellite retrieved value if the FTS profile were to correspond with the true state of the atmosphere.

Important to note here is that unlike NDACC, which directly yields retrieved profiles ( $x_{F,r}$ ), TCCON provides total column dry air mole fractions only. So, we will apply this vertical sensitivity correction onto the scaled TCCON a priori, where the scaling factor takes into account the actual retrieval (which is based on the scaling of an a priori profile) as well as the post retrieval corrections to bring TCCON in line with in situ measurements. Thus, the scaled TCCON profile ( $x_{F,r}$ ) corresponds to

$$x_{F,r} = x_{F,a} \times \hat{c}_{F,r} / \hat{c}_{F,a}$$


where  $x_{F,a}$  is the TCCON a priori profile.  $\hat{c}_{F,r}$  and  $\hat{c}_{F,a}$  are the TCCON retrieved and a priori column-averaged dry air mole fractions.

The adjusted FTS dry air mole fraction then corresponds to

$$\hat{c}_{F,adj} = \sum_l pw_l (x_{F,a}^l + (x_{F,r}^l - x_{F,a}^l)A_l)$$

where,  $pw_l$  again represents the pressure weight associated with the level or vertical layer with index  $l$  and  $A_l$  the corresponding column averaging kernel of the satellite algorithm.  $x_{F,a}$  and  $x_{F,r}$  are the FTS a priori and scaled dry air mole fraction profiles, respectively. Again, the exact formulation may depend on how the sensitivity information is presented to the user.

This approach should minimize the inherent differences between satellite and ground-based remote sensing retrievals, regardless of the algorithm and target species involved. If partial column information is available, we will also look at these layers.

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 10
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

## 2.3 Quality Parameters

Quality indicators, or so-called Figures of Merit (FoM), that will be derived from the intercomparisons at each individual reference site are the bias, scatter and long-term stability. Using multiple reference sites, we can look at the overall bias, scatter and stability as well as the variability of these parameters over the networks. We will also assess the user declared uncertainties.

When 2 (or more) algorithms retrieve the same satellite product (which is the case for IASI N<sub>2</sub>O), we will determine the statistical significance of their FoM differences by calculating the probability that the obtained sample variances all stem from the same population. When both products also cover the same timeframe and spatial coverage, we will also generate identical resolution gridded monthly or seasonal (depending on data density) maps for direct comparison.

Optionally (if time permits and if the vertical sensitivity differences are significant enough to merit such an exercise) we will use 3D model fields as an intermediate to take into account the respective differences in vertical sensitivity. In this case, instead of a direct comparison we evaluate the respective biases towards the model.

Also note that the above-mentioned methodology and FoM parameter determination will be primarily applied to our N<sub>2</sub>O algorithm analysis. Currently the NADIR halogenated retrieval products are restricted to IASI. This particular product (*De Longueville, 2021*) does not retrieve absolute concentrations. Instead, it tracks the evolution of CFC concentrations with respect to a reference year (2008) over the Northern mid latitudes (23.27°N–66.32°N). In other words, the primary focus of our validation lies in checking its ability to capture the long-term evolution of these compounds. In this respect, using the AGAGE network data is certainly feasible despite obvious differences in sampled atmosphere. In the same vein, the sometimes very limited FTIR reference dataset (Table 1, but even more limited when taking the IASI products latitudinal range into account) should not be an impediment either.

## 3 General Limb product validation strategy

For the limb data products, two types of comparisons will be undertaken.

- Intercomparisons will be made between vertically resolved satellite measurements when at least two different satellite systems provide retrievals for a given gas. These measurements should overlap sufficiently in time and space to ensure that a meaningful comparison can be made.
- Validation comparisons will be made between vertically resolved satellite measurements and difference reference data sources as outlined below.

No comparisons between limb and nadir satellite measurements are currently foreseen. If new nadir data products for CFCs, HCFCs, or HFCs are retrieved in this project, this may be added as appropriate.

### 3.1 Reference data

#### 3.1.1 Balloon-borne Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) Spectrometers

Deploying FTIR spectrometers on balloon platforms enables the measurement of atmospheric profiles from a near-space vantage point. For this work package, we will focus on measurements from three balloon-borne FTIR instruments: MkIV FTIR (/Toon, 1991/), MIPAS-B (e.g., /von Clarmann et al., 1993/), and GLORIA (/Friedl-Vallon et al., 2014;/Riese et al., 2014;/Johansson et al., 2022/). The MkIV measures using the solar occultation technique (as used by ACE-FTS) and MIPAS-B and GLORIA are limb emission instruments like MIPAS. All provide a profiling view of the atmosphere similar to the limb sounders to be validated in this work package.

Details on the flights by these three FTIR spectrometers since 2000 are given in the tables below.

Table 2 provides details for the GLORIA and MIPAS instruments and Table 3 provided details for the MkIV instrument. For these instruments, the locations of the launch sites are as follows: Kiruna/Esrangle, Sweden; Aire sur l'Adour, France; Teresina, Brazil; Timmins, Canada; and Ft. Sumner, New Mexico, USA. The suite of gases that can be retrieved from the balloon-borne FTIR measurements is given in Table 4. Note, not all species may be available from each flight depending on conditions and development of processor.

Date	Instrument	Launch Site	Reference
2001-01-11	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	/Hoepfner et al. 2002/
2002-02-12	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	<a href="https://stratocat.com.ar/fichas-e/2002/KRN-20020212.htm">https://stratocat.com.ar/fichas-e/2002/KRN-20020212.htm</a>
2002-09-24	MIPAS-B2	Aire sur l'Adour	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2002-12-07	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	/Oelhaf et al. 2003/
2003-03-20	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2003-07-03	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2005-06-14	MIPAS-B2	Teresina	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2008-06-06	MIPAS-B2	Teresina	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2009-03-11	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2010-01-24	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2011-03-31	MIPAS-B2	Kiruna/Esrangle	/Wetzel et al. 2022/
2014-09-07	MIPAS-B2	Timmins	/Wetzel et al. 2017/
2021-08-21	GLORIA-B	Kiruna/Esrangle	<a href="https://stratocat.com.ar/fichas-e/2021/KRN-20210821.htm">https://stratocat.com.ar/fichas-e/2021/KRN-20210821.htm</a>
2022-08-23	GLORIA-B++	Timmins	<a href="https://stratocat.com.ar/fichas-e/2022/TMS-20220823.htm">https://stratocat.com.ar/fichas-e/2022/TMS-20220823.htm</a>

**Table 2:** Details of balloon flights with the MIPAS-B and GLORIA FTIR instruments.


Date	Tangent Latitude (N) (at 20 km)	Tangent Longitude (E) (at 20 km)	Minimum Altitude (km)	Balloon Altitude (km)	Launch Site	Event
2000-03-15	67.8	34.2	11	29	Kiruna/Esrange	Sunrise
2002-12-16	64.4	31.2	12	31	Kiruna/Esrange	Sunrise
2003-04-01	68.3	35.2	11	32	Kiruna/Esrange	Sunrise
2003-09-19	34.3	-113.3	7	36	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2004-09-23	33.8	-109.2	11	38	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2005-09-20	35.2	-114.1	11	39	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2005-09-21	34	-110.3	13	29	Ft. Sumner	Sunrise
2007-02-07	67.9	21	-	34	Kiruna/Esrange	Ascent <sup>#</sup>
2007-02-22	67.9	21.1	25	34	Kiruna/Esrange	Ascent <sup>#</sup>
2007-09-22	35.2	-114.1	10	38	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2007-09-23	34	-110.3	13	38	Ft. Sumner	Sunrise
2011-09-23	34.5	-108.8	6	39	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2011-09-24	35.7	-96.3	14	40	Ft. Sumner	Sunrise
2014-09-13	36.2	-112.5	7	39	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2014-09-14	35.6	-103.5	8	40	Ft. Sumner	Sunrise
2016-09-27	36	-110.5	11	39	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2019-10-07	33.4	-109.7	7	38	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2021-09-25	33.5	-107.5	8	39	Ft. Sumner	Sunset
2021-09-26	33	-97.8	10	40	Ft. Sumner	Sunrise

**Table 3:** Details of balloon flights with the MkIV FTIR instrument. # indicates that the balloon burst upon reaching float altitude. All details from <https://mark4sun.jpl.nasa.gov/m4data.html>.

Balloon FTIR spectrometer	Species retrieved
<b>MIPAS-B</b>	<b>N<sub>2</sub>O, CFC-11, CFC-12, SF<sub>6</sub></b> , CFC-113, HCFC-22, CCl <sub>4</sub> , CF <sub>4</sub>
<b>GLORIA</b>	<b>N<sub>2</sub>O, CFC-11, CFC-12, SF<sub>6</sub></b> , CFC-113, HCFC-22, CCl <sub>4</sub> , CF <sub>4</sub>
<b>MkIV</b>	<b>N<sub>2</sub>O, CFC-11, CFC-12, SF<sub>6</sub></b> , CFC-113, HCFC-22, CCl <sub>4</sub> , CF <sub>4</sub> , HCFC-142b, HFC-23

**Table 4:** Typical gases retrieved from the balloon-borne FTIRs. The species indicated in bold are those four prioritized in this project.

### 3.1.2 In situ aircraft measurements

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 13
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

Measurements from the HIPPO project **/Wofsy 2011/** will be investigated as possible additional sources of in situ reference data for the limb product validation. Lack of temporal and spatial overlap in the airborne and satellite measurements may preclude the inclusion of all of these independent datasets. Therefore, this work will be done on a best effort basis.

## 3.2 Strategy

The general principles for the validation comparisons aim to find adequate collocation criteria between satellite and reference data to balance the competing needs of obtaining a statistically significant dataset and limiting the risk of introducing biases. We will strive to make these collocation parameters as uniform as possible as to aid the interpretation of data sets, but in reality, this may not be practically possible when comparing a high and low density dataset. As a starting point, we will use within 500 km and 6 hours, based on previous validation efforts. Using zonal mean comparisons for lower density satellite measurements will also be investigated (e.g., **/Kolonjari 2024/**).

Since the vertical sampling and resolutions of the limb profile measurements and balloon FTIR observations are quite similar (2-5 km, typically), these comparisons will initially use the native profiles without any account taken of the averaging kernels. The need to apply the averaging kernels will be evaluated as time permits in the comparisons.

If sufficient spatial and temporal overlaps are found between the satellite measurements and the HIPPO aircraft observations, a comparison strategy based on that of **/Martinez-Alonso 2014/** will be utilized for the HIPPO in situ profiles. This will employ “looser” criteria than the balloon-borne profile comparisons described above.


## 3.3 Quality Parameters

Quality indicators that will be derived from the comparisons with the reference balloon-borne measurements are the bias and scatter. If sufficient flights and coincidences are available for individual satellite datasets, the long-term stability will also be examined. The combination of multiple reference flights can be used to look at the overall bias and scatter as well as the variability of these parameters.

Similar quality indicators will be derived for the intercomparisons between satellite data products. Profile-to-profile comparisons will be made by identifying pairs of coincident profiles using a strategy similar to that for the reference balloon-borne measurements.

The focus for these evaluations will be on N<sub>2</sub>O, CFC-11, CFC-12, and SF<sub>6</sub> as agreed following the completion of WP1300. All species selected have at least two satellite systems making observations of these gases (and in some cases, multiple retrieval processors). Also, the two balloon-borne FTIRs and the HIPPO aircraft provide profile measurements of these species.

From the User’s Needs survey, N<sub>2</sub>O, SF<sub>6</sub>, CFC-11, and CFC-12 were the top four requested gases of interest – those of highest priority. The next set identified (in requested order) were CCl<sub>4</sub>, HCFC-22, HFC-134a, CF<sub>4</sub>, HCFC-142b, HFC-23, and CFC-113. Of these, HCFC-22,

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 14
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

CCl<sub>4</sub>, and CF<sub>4</sub> it will be possible to make both intercomparison and validation assessments because there are satellite and reference measurements available. For CFC-113, HCFC-142b, and HCF-23, the focus will be on limited validation assessments as only one satellite data set is available for comparison with reference measurements.

## References

**/De Longueville 2021/** De Longueville, H., Clarisse, L., Whitburn, S., Franco, B., Bauduin, S., Clerbaux, C., et al. (2021). Identification of short and long-lived atmospheric trace gases from IASI space observations. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2020GL091742. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091742>

**/De Mazière 2018/** De Mazière, M., Thompson, A. M., Kurylo, M. J., Wild, J. D., Bernhard, G., Blumenstock, T., Braathen, G. O., Hannigan, J. W., Lambert, J.-C., Leblanc, T., McGee, T. J., Nedoluha, G., Petropavlovskikh, I., Seckmeyer, G., Simon, P. C., Steinbrecht, W., and Strahan, S. E.: The Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC): history, status and perspectives, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 4935–4964, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-4935-2018>, 2018.


**/Friedl-Vallon 2014/** Friedl-Vallon, F., T. Gulde, F. Hase, A. Kleinert, T. Kulesa, G. Maucher, T. Neubert, F. Olschewski, C. Piesch, P. Preusse, H. Rongen, C. Sartorius, H. Schneider, A. Sch. nfeld, V. Tan, N. Bayer, J. Blank, R. Dapp, A. Ebersoldt, H. Fischer, F. Graf, T. Guggenmoser, M. H.pfner, M. Kaufmann, E. Kretschmer, T. Latzko, H. Nordmeyer, H. Oelhaf, J. Orphal, M. Riese, G. Schardt, J. Schillings, M. K. Sha, O. Suminska-Ebersoldt, and J. Ungermann, Instrument concept of the imaging Fourier transform spectrometer GLORIA, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 3565-3577, doi:10.5194/amt-7-3565-2014, 2014.

**/GCOS-245/** The 2022 GCOS ECVs Requirements (GCOS 245), <https://library.wmo.int/records/item/58111-the-2022-gcos-ecvs-requirements-gcos-245>

**/Hoepfner et al. 2002/** Hopfner, M., H. Oelhaf, G. Wetzel, F. Friedl-Vallon, A. Kleinert, A. Lengel, G. Maucher, H. Nordmeyer, N. Glatthor, G. Stiller, T. v Clarmann, H. Fischer, C. Kroger, and T. Deshler, Evidence of scattering of tropospheric radiation by PSCs in mid-IR limb emission spectra: MIPAS-B observations and KOPRA simulations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(8), doi:10.1029/2001GL014443, 2002.

**/Hase 2006/** Hase, F., Demoulin, P., Sauval, A. J., Toon, G. C., Bernath, P. F., Goldman, A., Hannigan, J. W., and Rinsland, C. P.: An empirical line-by-line model for the infrared solar transmittance spectrum from 700 to 5000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, *J. Quant. Spectros. Radiat. Transfer*, 102, 450-463, 2006

**/Johansson 2022/** Johansson, S., W. Woiwode, M. H.pfner, F. Friedl-Vallon, A. Kleinert, EW. Kretschmer, T. Latzko, J. Orphal, P. Preusse, J. Ungermann, M.L. Santee, T. Jurkat-Witschas, A. Marsing, C. Voigt, A. Giez, M. Kr.mer, C. Rolf, A. Zahn, A. Engel, B.-M. No.l, S., Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Borchardt, J., Hilker, M., Schneising, O., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Di Noia, A., Parker, R. J., Suto, H., Yoshida, Y., Buschmann, M., Deutscher, N. M., Feist, D. G., Griffith, D. W. T., Hase, F., Kivi, R., Liu, C., Morino, I., Notholt, J., Oh, Y.-S., Ohyama, H., Petri, C., Pollard, D. F., Rettinger, M., Roehl, C., Rousogenous, C., Sha, M. K., Shiomi, K., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., T., Y., Velazco, V. A., Vrekoussis, M., and Warneke, T.: Retrieval

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 15
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

of greenhouse gases from GOSAT and GOSAT-2 using the FOCAL algorithm, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 15, 3401–3437, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-3401-2022>, 2022.

**/Kolonjari 2024/** Kolonjari, F., Sheese, P. E., Walker, K. A., Boone, C. D., Plummer, D. A., Engel, A., Montzka, S. A., Oram, D. E., Schuck, T., Stiller, G. P., and Toon, G. C.: Validation of Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) chlorodifluoromethane (HCFC-22) in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 17, 2429–2449, doi:10.5194/amt-17-2429-2024, 2024

**/Martinez-Alonso 2014/** Martínez-Alonso, S., et al., Comparison of upper tropospheric carbon monoxide from MOPITT, ACE-FTS, and HIPPO-QCLS, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, 14,144–14,164, doi:[10.1002/2014JD022397](https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022397), 2014.

**/Oelhaf et al. 2003/** Oelhaf, H., F. Friedl-Vallon, A. Kleinert, A. Lengel, G. Maucher, H. Nordmeyer, G. Wetzell, G. Zhang, and H. Fischer, MIPAS-B observations for the validation of target parameters of Envisat chemistry instruments, 3rd German SCIAMACHY Validation Team Meeting, Bremen, Germany, 7-8 July 2003. Presentation archived at: [https://www.iup.uni-bremen.de/gcvos/bremen\\_jul03/Presentations/GSVT3\\_oelhaf\\_MIPAS-B\\_07\\_03.pdf](https://www.iup.uni-bremen.de/gcvos/bremen_jul03/Presentations/GSVT3_oelhaf_MIPAS-B_07_03.pdf)

**/Pardo Cantos 2022/** Pardo Cantos, I., Mahieu, E., Chipperfield, M. P., Smale, D., Hannigan, J. W., Friedrich, M., Fraser, P., Krummel, P., Prignon, M., Makkor, J., Servais, C., Robinson, J.: Determination and analysis of time series of CFC-11 (CCI3F) from FTIR solar spectra, in situ observations, and model data in the past 20 years above Jungfraujoch (46°N), Lauder (45°S), and Cape Grim (40°S) stations, *Environmental Science: Atmospheres.*, 6, 2022, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/D2EA00060A>

**/Pougatchev 1995/** Pougatchev, N. S., B. J. Connor, N. B. Jones, and C. P. Rinsland, Infrared measurements of the ozone vertical distribution above Kitt Peak, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100(D8), 16,689–16,698, 1995.


**/Prinn 2000/** R. G. Prinn, R. F. Weiss, P. J. Fraser, P. G. Simmonds, D. M. Cunnold, F. N. Alyea, S. O'Doherty, P. Salameh, B. R. Miller, J. Huang, R. H. J. Wang, D. E. Hartley, C. Harth, L. P. Steele, G. Sturrock, P. M. Midgley, A. McCulloch, A history of chemically and radiatively important gases in air deduced from ALE/GAGE/AGAGE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D14), 17,751–17,792, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900141>

**/Riese 2014/** Riese, M., H. Oelhaf, P. Preusse, J. Blank, M. Ern, F. Friedl-Vallon, H. Fischer, T. Guggenmoser, M. H. Pfner, P. Hoor, M. Kaufmann, J. Orphal, F. Pl. ger, R. Spang, O. Suminska-Ebersoldt, J. Ungermann, B. Vogel, and W. Woiwode, Gimballed Limb Observer for Radiance Imaging of the Atmosphere (GLORIA) scientific objectives, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 1915-1928, doi:10.5194/amt-7-1915-2014, 2014.

**/Rodgers 2000/** Rodgers, C.D. (2000) *Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding: Theory and Practice*. World Scientific, River Edge

**/Toon 1991/** Toon, G. C.: The JPL MkIV interferometer, *Opt. Photonics News*, 2, 19–21, 1991.

**/von Clarmann 1993/** von Clarmann, T., Oelhaf, H., and Fischer, H.: Retrieval of atmospheric O<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, CFC–11, and CFC–12 profiles from MIPAS–B–89 limb emission spectra, *Appl. Opt.*, 32, 6808–6817, 1993.

	ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)	Page 16
	<b>Product Validation Plan (PVP)</b>	[D2.1] LOLIPOP_PVP
		Version 1.1
		15 May 2024

**/Wetzel et al. 2017/** Wetzel, G., Oelhaf, H., Höpfner, M., Friedl-Vallon, F., Ebersoldt, A., Gulde, T., Kazarski, S., Kirner, O., Kleinert, A., Maucher, G., Nordmeyer, H., Orphal, J., Ruhnke, R., and Sinnhuber, B.-M.: Diurnal variations of BrONO<sub>2</sub> observed by MIPAS-B at midlatitudes and in the Arctic, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 14631–14643, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14631-2017>, 2017.

**/Wetzel et al. 2022/** Wetzel, G., Höpfner, M., Oelhaf, H., Friedl-Vallon, F., Kleinert, A., Maucher, G., Sinnhuber, M., Abalichin, J., Dehn, A., and Raspollini, P.: Long-term validation of MIPAS ESA operational products using MIPAS-B measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 15, 6669–6704, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-6669-2022>, 2022.

**/Wofsy-2011/** Wofsy S. C., HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations (HIPPO): fine-grained, global-scale measurements of climatically important atmospheric gases and aerosols, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*.3692073–2086, <http://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2010.0313>, 2011.

**/Wunch 2011/** Wunch, D., Toon, G. C., Blavier, J.-F. L., Washenfelder, R. A., Notholt, J., Connor, B. J., Griffith, D. W. T., Sherlock, V., and Wennberg, P. O.: The Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON), *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 369, 2087–2112, [doi:10.1098/rsta.2010.0240](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2010.0240), 2011.

**/Zeng 2020/** Zeng, X., Wang, W., Liu, C., Shan, C., Xie, Y., Wu, P., Zhu, Q., Zhou, M., De Mazière, M., Mahieu, E., Pardo Cantos, I., Makkor, J., and Polyakov, A.: Retrieval of atmospheric CFC-11 and CFC-12 from high-resolution FTIR observations at Hefei and comparisons with other independent datasets, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 15, 6739–6754, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-6739-2022>, 2022.

**/Zhou 2016/** Zhou, M., Vigouroux, C., Langerock, B., Wang, P., Dutton, G., Hermans, C., Kumps, N., Metzger, J.-M., Toon, G., and De Mazière, M.: CFC-11, CFC-12 and HCFC-22 ground-based remote sensing FTIR measurements at Réunion Island and comparisons with MIPAS/ENVISAT data, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 5621–5636, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-5621-2016>, 2016.

**/Zhou 2019/** Zhou, M., Langerock, B., Wells, K., Millet, D., Vigouroux, C., Sha, M., Hermans, C., Metzger, J.-M., Kivi, R., Heikkinen, P., Smale, D., Pollard, D., Jones, N., Deutscher, N., Blumenstock, T., Schneider, M., Palm, M., Notholt, J., Hannigan, J., De Mazière, M. (2019). An intercomparison of total column-averaged nitrous oxide between ground-based FTIR TCCON and NDACC measurements at seven sites and comparisons with the GEOS-Chem model. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*. 12. 1393-1408. [10.5194/amt-12-1393-2019](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-1393-2019).

**/Zhou 2024/** M. Zhou, B. Langerock, C. Vigouroux, D. Smale, G. Toon, A. Polyakov, J. W. Hannigan, J. Notholt, K. Strong, E. Mahieu, M. Palm, M. Prignon, N. Jones, O. Garcia, I. Morino, I. Murata, I. Ortega, T. Nagahama, T. Wizenberg, V. Flood, and M. De Mazière; Recent decreases in the growth rate of atmospheric HCFC-22 column derived from the ground-based FTIR harmonized retrievals at 17 NDACC sites, in preparation.

\*\*\* End of Document \*\*\*





ESA Climate Change Initiative (CCI)

**Product Validation Plan  
(PVP)**

Page 17

[D2.1] LOLIPOP\_PVP

Version 1.1

15 May 2024